UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,809	05/05/2006	Francesco Cellini	283633US0XPCT	9767
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			WESSENDORF, TERESA D	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,809	CELLINI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TERESA WESSENDORF	1639
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 D This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2005 is/are to the subject to the subjec	or election requirement. er. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/561,809 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on 12/11/08 is acknowledged. traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner has not provided sufficient reasons or examples to support patentable distinctness. Products and method of use should be examined together as a single inventive entity especially wherein the sole disclosed utility of the product is that recited in the specification. Different classification of subject matter to be divided is not conclusive proof of independent status and divisibility. Applicants respectfully traverse on the grounds that the Office has not shown that a burden exists in searching the entire application. Further, the M.P.E.P. § 803 states as follows: "If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without a serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on its merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions." This is not found persuasive because of the distinctness of the claimed product, kit, which is used for the synthesis of cDNA libraries of plants comprising the necessary components and instructions for the embodiment of the method defined in claim 1. This will impose an undue burden of examination given a different use for the product and the

Application/Control Number: 10/561,809 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

unspecified "necessary' components and lack of instruction to produce and obtain the product. Therefore, a prior art search of the method would not render obvious the claimed product. The different databases require to search each of the distinct, unrelated inventions are not co-extensive thereby imposing an undue burden of examination to the examiner to search the distinct inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 12/11/08.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-6 are pending and under examination.

Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claims 1-5 are under examination.

Priority

If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 365(c), a specific reference to the

Application/Control Number: 10/561,809 Page 4

Art Unit: 1639

prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Art Unit: 1639

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required.

Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11. [Please note that the international application is not evident on file].

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses the PCT abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. The preamble of claim 1 recites "isolation of expressed sequence tags" which does not correspond to the body of the claim, step (d) which recites analyzing the sequence of the clones of the library. There are no claim steps as to the isolation of an expressed sequence tags in the body of the claim.
 - 2. Claim 2 is grammatically incorrect.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sano et al (Mol.Gen. Genet).

Sano discloses throughout the article at e.g., page 228 under Materials and methods section up to page 230 a method for

Page 7

Art Unit: 1639

isolating of expressed sequence tags in different development phases of plants comprising (a) germinating seeds in a suitable medium in the presence of 5-azaCytidine in quantities ranging from 0.1 mM to 2 mM, thereby forming shoots [see e.g., page 228, col. 1, first paragraph which states a Japanese rice treated with 0.3mM azaC]; (b) extracting nucleic acids from the shoots grown as specified in (a) [total RNA was isolated from aza-C treated seedlings (synonymous to shoots), Sano, ibid]; (c) synthesizing a cDNA library comprising clones starting from the nucleic acids extracted in step (b) [complementary DNA was synthesized, cloned into lambda gt10, Sano, ibid]; (d) sequencing and sequence analyzing clones of the library [Sano under RESULTS section states subsequent screening of cDNA libraries, derived from azaC-treated seedlings, led to identification of several differentially expressed candidate clones, and was the subject of further analysis. (Fig. 1, shows the nucleotide sequence isolated and identified from the aza-C treated seedlings].

No claim is allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Belozersky et al discloses using 5- azaC in wheat shoots.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,809

Art Unit: 1639

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TERESA WESSENDORF whose telephone number is (571)272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on flexitime.

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TERESA WESSENDORF/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639